

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/926,742		12/11/2001	Kiyohiko Yokota	216859USOXPC	1739
22850	7590	06/22/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET				TESKIN, FRED M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1713	
				DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/926,742	YOKOTA ET AL.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred M Teskin	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communicat		ith the correspondence address
HE REPLY FILED 13 May 2004 FAILS TO PL herefore, further action by the applicant is requal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be ondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR	iired to avoid abandonment of th either: (1) a timely filed amendm of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3	is application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in
PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the ma	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST RE 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(eave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the compose of the	pire later than SIX MONTHS from the mail PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH a). The date on which the petition under 3 od of extension and the corresponding ame e shortened statutory period for reply origin	ing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee und ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth
A Notice of Appeal was filed on A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereo		
2. igotimes 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be e	ntered because:	
(a) M they raise new issues that would requ	uire further consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	ee Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the apprissues for appeal; and/or	olication in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simplifying
(d) they present additional claims without	ut canceling a corresponding nur	nber of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: see attachment hereto.		
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the follow	ving rejection(s):	
1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendme
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ re application in condition for allowance bec		een considered but does NOT place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideral and the final rejection.		SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed am explanation of how the new or amended		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as	s follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		
Claim(s) objected to: NONE		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-19</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	NONE.	
	a) approved or b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.
3. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a		
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is aP.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure	Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper	· No(s)

Application/Control Number: 09/926,742

Art Unit: 1713

Attachment to Advisory Action

Proposed claim 20 would raise a new issue by stipulating a Markush group of (substituted)alkylene species from which each of Y¹ and Y² must be selected. To determine the patentable significance of this new limitation, not present in any finally rejected claim, would require further consideration and more than a cursory review of the applied art and evidence of record. Further, the proposed claim would raise a new issue under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2d paragraph, due to an error in nomenclature, i.e., "demethylphenyl" (see the final line).

Finally, the printed publications submitted with the response and relied on by applicants as purported evidence of unobviousness will not be considered as they were not timely presented, prosecution herein having been closed by the final Office action of January 13, 2004; nor were the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 satisfied.

FRED TÉSKIN PRIMARY EXA

FMTeskin/06-14-04 (571) 272-1116